



VS. VPNs (generic IT firewalls, standalone software VPNs, RDP)

Direct-to-endpoint/service connectivity. Continuous inspection of content during session. No springboarding to other ports/hosts.

Feature	OTaccess	VPNs
Native OT Protocol Support for inspection and intrusion prevention	✓	
Options for both hosted and onsite deployment models	~	×
Integrates with any industrial OEM or network design	✓	×
Behavior-based policy enforcement	✓	×
Continuous inspection and enforcement throughout user sessions	✓	×
Hierarchical deployment models for employee and 3rd party supplier usage	~	×
Session Recording	✓	×

VS. Zero-Trust Networking (Tempered, Zscaler)

No onsite hardware, native inspection and enforcement of usage-based policy violations, not just generic Snort signatures

Feature	OTaccess	Zero-Trust Networking
Native OT Protocol Support for inspection and intrusion prevention	✓	
Options for both hosted and onsite deployment models	~	×
No additional network equipment required	~	×
Behavior-based policy enforcement	✓	×
Continuous inspection and enforcement throughout user sessions	~	×
Hierarchical deployment models for employee and 3rd party supplier usage	~	

VS. IoT/Secure Connectivity Solutions (Tosibox, Ewon, embedded firewall VPNs)


Secure the entire connection from user to asset, not just an intermediate transport link; provide continuous protection of all activity

Feature	OTaccess	Tosibox	Ewon Cosy & Flex
Hardware Required	No	Yes	Yes
Oubound-only conncections with perfet forward secrecy via TLS 1.2	Yes	No	No
Deep Content Inspection of user activity	Yes	No	No
Countinous inspection of activity during session	Yes	No	No
User's choice of hosted or onsite deployment models	Yes	No	No
Session Recording	Yes	No	No
Native support of decoding function codes across multiple industrial vendor OT protocols	Yes	Partial	No



Perimeter

Endpoint



Runs Bayshore OT Access client

No Bayshore software No change of configuration



